



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/714,018	11/16/2000	Harry Thomas Kloor	18822-00011/US	5553
<div>7590 Christopher R. Hilberg Oppenheimer Wolff &amp; Donnelly LLP Suite 3300 45 South Seventh Street Minneapolis, MN 55402</div>			<div>EXAMINER THEIN, MARIA TERESA T</div>	
			<div>ART UNIT 3627</div>	<div>PAPER NUMBER</div>
			<div>MAIL DATE 11/27/2007</div>	<div>DELIVERY MODE PAPER</div>

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/714,018	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KLOOR, HARRY THOMAS	
	<b>Examiner</b> Marissa Thein	<b>Art Unit</b> 3627	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Marissa Thein.

(2) Mr. Christopher Hillberg.

**Date of Interview:** 15 November 2007

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Discussed the Declaration under 37 CFR 1.131*

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/F. Ryan Zeender/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3627

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Hilberg discussed the Supplemental Declaration, specifically, showing how the Declaration pointed out the specific dates and specific activities. However, Examiner could not give a definite answer if the Declaration meets due diligence. Another interview will be scheduled, at a later time.